Leaving Options Open in Lower Extremity Intervention

Experienced operators share what you need to know before adopting the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter as a first-line therapy into your practice.

Endovascular Today sat down with expert interventionists Craig Walker, MD; Mehdi Shishehbor, DO, MPH, PhD; Robert Beasley, MD; J.A. Mustapha, MD; and Syed Hussain, MD, to discuss their clinical experience with the unique Chocolate® Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty (PTA) Balloon Catheter and how it is changing their approach to treating lower extremity lesions. In April 2014, Cordis Corporation began distribution of this balloon, which is manufactured by TriReme Medical, LLC. The Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter is an angioplasty balloon that is constricted by a nitinol pressure shield,* which ensures uniform cylindrical inflation. This unique design has facilitated lower rates of dissection, and the short-term outcomes point to the Chocolate® PTA Balloon as a viable option for standalone treatment of lower extremity lesions or as part of a combined treatment strategy with other interventional devices, such as atherectomy. This discussion explores how this balloon differs from standard angioplasty balloons, various operator techniques for sizing and inflation, and why this multispecialty panel is choosing the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter first for peripheral arterial disease treatment.
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*Nitinol constraining structure.
What are some of the key attributes of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter?

Dr. Walker: The Chocolate® PTA Balloon is a PTA balloon catheter with a nitinol pressure shield, which constrains the balloon and enables uniform inflation. The Chocolate® PTA Balloon was designed to overcome the clinical challenges of torsional (twisting), radial (expanding) and longitudinal (lengthening) stress that can occur during angioplasty and that may result in bailout stenting or higher rates of TLR. When the device is inflated, the balloon expands the nitinol pressure shield to its preset diameter, then, the balloon inflates minimally around the nitinol struts, creating balloon pillows and grooves at the sites of the constraining struts. The nitinol pressure shield negates transmission of rotational forces as the wrapped balloon inflates. It protects against balloon elongation with inflation and facilitates balloon deflation. This design reduces the amount of shear stress applied to a vessel wall as the balloon elongates. By limiting balloon inflation diameter and therefore radial stress, it ensures that heterogeneous plaque, which has different levels of resistance to expansion, is uniformly dilated, avoiding overstretches or pillows we’ve mentioned. The typical result is an excellent angioplasty result with no dissection.

Dr. Beasley: The physics of this balloon allow you to take it up to its rated burst pressure without dissection. As mentioned previously, dissections can lead to additional, unplanned therapies or worse outcomes, thus increasing the overall cost of the procedure or increasing retreatment rates. The pressure shield does not cut into the arterial wall; instead, it constrains the balloon and enables a uniform inflation by creating the mounds or pillows we’ve mentioned. The typical result is an excellent angioplasty result with no dissection.

Dr. Mustapha: The pillows and the grooves of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon allow the energy to dissipate and dissolve along the rest of the balloon without cutting into the vessel wall.

In the Chocolate BAR registry,2 we showed a dissection rate of 3%, which is much lower than what we have seen in standard PTA balloons. Odink et al1 showed a dissection rate up to 20% with standard angioplasty. The rate of dissection was 3% with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon versus 20% with standard PTA across the board, even with the 3.5-mm balloon.

Dr. Walker: At higher pressure levels, it behaves like an ultra-noncompliant balloon. The nitinol pressure shield absolutely limits balloon inflation at a certain point. Additional inflation is only at the pillow level, and that’s minimal. Theoretically, the dissection is limited to the diameter of the pillow, not the length of the entire lesion.

How would you describe your personal experience to date with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon?

Dr. Beasley: I have used more than 250 of these balloons, including many times in the tibial arteries. I have not encountered any issues getting through an occlusion after atherectomy. I perform atherectomy in almost all of my cases by using the 1.25-mm Diamondback system (Cardiovascular Systems Inc.). Even down into the pedal arteries, I don’t have a problem crossing with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter.

Dr. Mustapha: I have been a high-volume user of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon and have performed a lot of procedures in the tibial and tibial-pedal vessels without atherectomy. The composition of the braided shaft of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon is a very important element. I find the pushability and trackability of the balloon and shaft together to be phenomenal. We compared the Chocolate® PTA Balloon to many other balloons, including a conventional balloon, and we were able to get the Chocolate® PTA Balloon to more lesions for many reasons. The taper angle at the tip of the balloon contributes to a low entry profile, and the coaxial composition of the shaft of the balloon contributes to what I’ve experienced as excellent pushability and trackability. The junction between the tip of the shaft of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon is a very important element. I find the pushability and trackability of the balloon and shaft together to be phenomenal. We compared the Chocolate® PTA Balloon to many other balloons, including a conventional balloon, and we were able to get the Chocolate® PTA Balloon to more lesions for many reasons. The taper angle at the tip of the
catheter and the balloon has a variable angle instead of a high angle. Above and at the ankle, this balloon is phenomenal. Below the ankle, it is tricky, as is the case with any device.

Dr. Hussain: I have performed more than 50 cases with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon. Its profile is a little bit on the larger side because of the nitinol pressure shield itself, so there has been some difficulty getting this device across complete occlusions or through vessels that are somewhat tight or heavily calcified. However, once the Chocolate® PTA Balloon is at the lesion, the results have been very good. Many times, I have not had to place a stent after performing angioplasty.

How do the radial, torsional, and longitudinal force of the Chocolate® PTA Balloon compare to other angioplasty balloons?

Dr. Walker: Radial force in this context is how much a balloon expands in diameter against an external restrictive force (the vessel obstruction). When a vessel is dilated, the goal is to make the balloon inflate uniformly at a very slow rate to lessen strain. The Chocolate® PTA Balloon inflates in a uniform cylindrical fashion. There is less strain, not only in terms of how fast you are inflating, but also because of the way you are interacting with the plaque. Strain is related to how rapidly a balloon expands. It is a major determinate of the creation of dissections.

When something is held in place for a longer period of time, it tends to retain that shape; this is what we call plasticity. In trials, we insisted on slow rates of inflation and holding the Chocolate® PTA Balloon’s maximum dilatation pressure up for at least 60 seconds, but in addition, we also used balloons slightly larger than the reference vessel diameter. With that, one would historically have anticipated higher rates of dissection, but we did not see this. Instead, we saw very impressive luminal gain without flow-limiting dissections.

Dr. Mustapha: Torsional stress sometimes gets overlooked. Torsional stress is real; as a traditional balloon unfolds, it tears at the plaque. With the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter, there is uniform distribution of both longitudinal and torsional force. A standard balloon will not give you that.

Dr. Walker: When a standard balloon inflates, it unwraps and makes contact with the vessel wall. It continues to torque as it inflates. A standard balloon also typically elongates during inflation, applying longitudinal vessel stress. Both of these forces create potentially damaging vessel stress. If a balloon inflates not as a cylinder but as a dumbbell or dog bone, part of the balloon is constricted, part is not, and that is potentially problematic because of overstetching. By having an external restraining nitinol pressure shield, this effect is limited with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter. All balloons interact with the plaque and the lesion being dilated, so a noncompliant element allows interventionists to avoid overdilation of one part of the vascular obstruction while achieving full dilation of even the hardest parts of the lesion, particularly in long lesions.

Dr. Mustapha: A standard balloon elongates against the vessel wall, and if you inflate it too quickly, it can create a spiral dissection. The Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter decreases the risk of spiral dissections.

What type of access are you employing when you use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon?

Dr. Mustapha: We have shifted to 90% ultrasound-guided common femoral artery antegrade access and 10% retrograde tibial access. Antegrade access allows you to reach the distal two-thirds of the tibial vessels that you may not otherwise be able to reach. In our critical limb ischemia (CLI) patients, we have shifted to 100% antegrade.

For those who do up-and-over intervention, the length is probably going to be an issue to treat BTK lesions. That needs to be addressed at some point, as this is where retrograde tibial access becomes valuable. The available lengths are 120, 135, and 150 cm.

Dr. Walker: I don’t use an antegrade approach 100% of the time, but I do use it in a high percentage of cases. In a severely overweight patient, an antegrade approach is difficult at best. When feasible, I prefer an antegrade approach because it gives you better wire control and reach.

In which patients or for which lesions are you primarily using the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter?

Dr. Mustapha: We were fortunate to have the Chocolate® PTA Balloon early on, and we have had the chance to use it with simple lesions to severely calcified lesions. Initially, we didn’t think that the Chocolate® PTA Balloon alone could tolerate some of the long, calcified lesions, so we did atherectomy first and then used the balloon. Eventually, we tried it without atherectomy, and we had great success in a broad range of
lesions. There isn’t a lesion that I wouldn’t attempt to treat with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon as a primary therapy at this point.

For patients with CLI with severely calcified lesions, which are a concern for perforations, I tend to perform atherectomy and follow with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon.

**Dr. Beasley:** I use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter in minimally calcified to heavily calcified tibial vessels in diabetic patients with CLI. I get excellent results with minimal dissection in those vessels. Across the popliteal and the posterior tibial segment, I rarely see dissection, which has cut down my fear of stenting in that location. I use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon across the common femoral, superficial femoral, and profunda bifurcation, and typically have no problems.

**Dr. Hussain:** I think the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter is good for any patient. It works well in the diabetic population and for patients with CLI. I occasionally run into an issue with the crossing profile in heavily calcified lesions, but I have had very good outcomes with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon overall once it crosses the lesion. For patients with infrapopliteal disease and behind-the-knee popliteal disease, the Chocolate® PTA Balloon has been beneficial with excellent results.

The Chocolate® PTA Balloon gives you the option to go to very high pressures and not worry about the risk of dissection. We see a minimal number of flow-limiting dissections with this particular device. We tend to use it quite often in the tibial vessels and especially at the origins of these vessels. I refrain from using this device near the ankle or in the foot itself because these vessels are tortuous and in some cases, make it difficult to track the balloon catheter.

**Dr. Walker:** I routinely use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon Catheter in the popliteal segment, particularly for the P3 segment when disease extends into the infrapopliteal arteries. I have found that I can often dilate into the tibial-peroneal trunk or into the anterior tibial vessels with a balloon diameter larger than the infrapopliteal vessel without damaging that distal vessel, and end up with an acceptable definitive angiographic result. I have found the Chocolate® PTA Balloon very useful in lesions where other balloons rupture and frequently fail to dilate. The goal of PTA is “big lumen, little injury,” and I think the Chocolate® PTA Balloon is a superior format for those areas.

**How does lesion length have an impact on your decision-making process?**

**Dr. Shishehbor:** I believe balloons do much better in shorter than in longer lesions. In long lesions, unfortunately, nothing works well, but everything is relative. Longer lesions almost always have a very high restenosis or reocclusion rates. It is hard to rely on the balloon alone, especially in the SFA. Hopefully, drug-coated balloons will change that.

In the SFA, we tend to have a lower threshold to place stents in longer lesions (25–30 cm). In shorter lesions, I typically try to stay with balloon angioplasty alone, and the patency for shorter lesions (4–7 cm), in general, is not bad.

Below the knee, we have very limited options. Your only choice is to balloon. I may use drug-eluting stents for proximal tibial vessels if there is a dissection or something that I am not able to treat with a balloon.

**What are your technical tips for using the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, such as deployment, sizing, inflation times, etc.?**

**Dr. Beasley:** I often use Chocolate® PTA Balloon dilatation in conjunction with atherectomy. As for oversizing, my sizing is liberal—if I think it’s a 5-mm vessel, I will typically use a 6-mm balloon. If I think it’s a 3-mm tibial vessel, I’ll use a 3.5-mm balloon. I use the 3-mm balloon all the way down to the ankle. I don’t have issues with any patient population using this balloon.

**Dr. Walker:** For infrapopliteal applications, vessels 3.5 mm or smaller, I tend to oversize by 0.5 mm. Above the knee, or in vessels ≥ 4 mm, I oversize by at least 1 mm.

I inflate slowly, as I believe that it’s the rate of rise that matters. At 30 seconds, we might get to half nominal, but I don’t think we should do that in 1 second and wait 30 seconds. I’m trying to achieve a nice, cylindrical inflation and minimize strain. I also tend to inflate the balloon out for 3 minutes. That’s taking into account plasticity and the fact that if we stretch something and hold it in that space for a period of time, it is more likely to retain that shape. This was traditionally done in the earliest days of angioplasty when we had no bailout mechanism. In many ways, we have lost the art of angioplasty. Now that we have bailout mechanisms such as stents, we are not as diligent about the balloon angioplasty component.

**Dr. Hussain:** I try not to overdilate with any standard angioplasty balloon due to the risk of dissection. With the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, I deflate relatively slowly for the vessel wall to accommodate the nitinol.
Dr. Shishehbor: Before I was a big Chocolate® PTA Balloon user, I wouldn’t put any balloons larger than 2.5 mm in diameter in the distal tibial vessels and near the ankle. Now, approximately 90% of the time, I’m putting a 3-mm Chocolate® PTA Balloon all the way to the ankle. You do have to tailor your decisions toward each patient, but in my own experience, I have not had any complications being more aggressive with sizing. I use a 3-, 3.5-, or sometimes a 4-mm Chocolate® PTA Balloon, even in the proximal tibial vessels. I have become much more aggressive with sizing, and I believe this is allowing more acute gain at the time of the procedure, which will then translate to patency.

Dr. Mustapha: I tend to oversize. We started initially with 1:1 balloon-to-vessel sizing, and we found that we needed a little bit more, so we increased to 1.1:1 sizing. We found much better results with this approach. You will not get the desired result if you match only 1:1. With the prolonged inflation, you get this nice step-up, step-down appearance (a common term used in the era of coronary stenting, meaning the typical images you see after deploying a stent).

With the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, we relearned that going slow and staying inflated longer gave us great results.

Dr. Walker: Our goal is the biggest lumen without dissection or injury. It has been shown by computer modeling that there is less deep injury with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon than with conventional balloons. Registries have shown angiographically that the Chocolate® PTA Balloon results in fewer dissections. We may need a study in which we use intravascular ultrasound to show true luminal gain and better evaluate dissection rate. It has certainly been our clinical impression that we have achieved greater luminal gains with lower rates of major dissections.

I started off sizing 1:1 as well, but based on some of what was observed in the BAR registry, in which we saw better outcomes without paying a price in dissection, we adopted larger sizing.

Dr. Beasley: I’ll go to half nominal pressure, 30 seconds, and then I’ll go up to nominal pressure. I’ll usually give about another 1.5 to 2 minutes once I get up to nominal pressure or crank it up to rated burst pressure, depending on the appearance. If I don’t get a good, uniform dilatation, or if there is a little fleck of calcium or an area of calcification, I will take it up to rated burst pressure.

Dr. Shishehbor: When you use the technology, you have to understand how it works mechanistically. You have to allow the nitinol pressure shield to work. The teaching point is that you shouldn’t rapidly go up to the minimal inflation pressure, which is 9 atm for BTK; you should go to about 4 atm, and that should take you about 30 seconds. That will allow the balloon to inflate, and it will allow the nitinol pressure shield to expand and get a uniform inflation. Once you get to that point, then you can increase the pressure to about 9 atm or 10 atm and leave it there for about 2 to 3 minutes.

**In which cases are you performing atherectomy before using the Chocolate® PTA Balloon?**

Dr. Walker: It depends on where the lesion is, but I use atherectomy approximately half the time. For infrapopliteal, long, calcific lesions, I tend to perform atherectomy followed by the Chocolate® PTA Balloon. Although there are no randomized controlled data to cite for this approach it is my approach. I think in that area, we don’t have a great stent alternative right now. We don’t have long stents that work in the infrapopliteal space, so we look for a good primary angioplasty result. We’re trying to keep a vessel open long enough to achieve healing, and if we do that, we typically achieve limb salvage.

I would prefer performing atherectomy and angioplasty rather than putting long stents in the infrapopliteal space, where we also lack positive data. Some of the stenting data in the SFA are pretty good. In some of those cases, I would balloon, and, if necessary, place a stent.

I do think we get better results with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon in densely calcified lesions as compared with conventional balloons because of the uniform inflation. Most balloons expand more in the softer parts of the lesion, and at the more densely calcific parts of long occlusions, they are restrained. Dissimilar amounts of dilation occur with conventional balloons, and therefore, different amounts of injury occur to the vessel.

Dr. Beasley: For above-the-knee claudicants, I don’t always use atherectomy, because I am able to get through these lesions. I had a case recently in which the...
patient had complete occlusion of her SFA and popliteal, and I got through the lesion fairly easily. I thought I was intraluminal, used the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, and had an awesome result. I couldn’t convince myself to place a stent. I know that’s anecdotal, but because I was fairly certain I was intraluminal, there was no reason to do atherectomy on this particular patient.

Dr. Mustapha: As previously mentioned, for patients with CLI and severely calcified lesions, which are at higher risk for perforation, I tend to perform atherectomy and then follow with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon.

For thrombotic lesions, are you using thrombectomy or thrombolysis before deploying the Chocolate® PTA Balloon?

Dr. Hussain: In patients with long, chronic, thrombotic lesions, some interventionists have advocated treating first with thrombolysis to soften the thrombus, but I have not done that myself. I usually start with placement of a distal embolic protection device, perform atherectomy, and then perform balloon angioplasty. That has been my treatment for thrombotic disease.

Dr. Mustapha: We typically use AngioJet (Bayer) thrombectomy and almost always see irregularly shaped residuals. Given that, when we suspect an organized thrombus with high fibrin density, we therefore treat with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon and then lytics for underlying thrombus burden. Also, we, of course, place filters. There are usually two or three culprit areas of high-grade stenosis that actually started the process of acute thrombosis. We try to reduce the percent stenosis with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon first to allow increased flow velocity during lytic therapy.

Dr. Walker: It’s been our experience—albeit some of the literature does not reflect this—that shorter courses of lytic therapy are associated with fewer bleeding complications. My personal experience is that when I can limit the length of lytic therapy to a few hours, the rate of major bleeds falls dramatically.

How do you determine whether to perform angioplasty alone or angioplasty plus stenting?

Dr. Shishehbor: Once you have done angioplasty, regardless of what you have used—a standard balloon, a Chocolate® PTA Balloon, or a cutting balloon or scoring balloon—the decision to stent is a little bit challenging. Sometimes it’s very subjective, and we are advocating that we need to be more objective.

Our own experience has been that the restenosis rates from those lesions are extremely high, especially if you have grade C or D or higher dissections. We advocate an objective assessment, which means measuring a pressure gradient at the end of your angioplasty in the SFA. If there is a significant gradient, approximately 10 mm Hg across the lesion in the SFA, then I think you need to do something else. The options are to go back with the balloon and do a prolonged inflation, stent, or use other novel devices that will be available soon, such as the Tack-It system (Intact Vascular), to maintain patency.

Because the field wants to move toward less stenting, people are willing to leave much more pronounced and larger dissections alone and not stent them, and we have not previously had a drug-coated balloon. Maybe when the data come out showing that because of a drug, even a moderate-sized dissection will remain patent, then I may change my approach.

Dr. Mustapha: Above the knee versus BTK are two different stories. With standard BTK angioplasty, there are a lot of data showing a high rate of restenosis due to a high percentage of dissection after angioplasty. Standard angioplasty does work, but with a high dissection rate that has a significantly high reocclusion rate. The Chocolate BAR registry showed lower dissection rates versus standard angioplasty in the BTK arm.

Dr. Beasley: The results from the Chocolate BAR registry show a 2% or 3% rate of immediate flow-limiting dissection above the knee. Those results sold me on the fact that this balloon works. The reinforcement of being able to oversize to achieve an excellent result far outweighs the economic problems that you might face using the balloon.

Dr. Walker: No one has ever shown that treating long-segment BTK disease with stenting is a good thing to do. The results were poor with longer bare-metal stents below the knee. Balloon-expandable stents (particularly drug-eluting) in very proximal sections are a reasonable option below the knee. In the distal segments, stents can be compressed and are probably not a good choice. Even if compressibility were not an issue, treating 30 cm of occlusion would take 10 of the longest stents, at a prohibitive cost. For above-the-knee therapy, I typically dilate with a balloon, then stent cases with suboptimal balloon results. There are many operators who routinely stent most SFA lesions. Even when stents are the final therapy, I strongly believe that good initial angioplasty is necessary to ensure...
ideal stent expansion. Often, stents that appear fully expanded in anteroposterior projections show signs of compression in oblique angiographic views.

Despite stent data demonstrating improved primary patency as compared to PTA, stent placement has long-term negatives as well. These patients have to be on antiplatelet regimens. Long-term antiplatelet regimens are more problematic than we think. If these patients need their antiplatelet regimens reversed for GI bleeding or surgical procedures, stent thrombosis may occur. There are factors other than just looking at patency of a vessel. Stents may fracture. If diffuse in-stent stenosis occurs, it may be difficult to treat.

**Does your vessel prep differ if you are planning to stent after angioplasty?**

Dr. Shishehbor: We rarely plan to stent. Our goal is to leave minimal metal in the artery if possible, and that’s the direction of the field, with drug-coated balloons. The reason for this desire for less stenting is that long stents will occlude, and it is much more difficult to cross an in-stent occlusion. Treatment is more challenging because it requires more than standard angioplasty. You either have to place a drug-eluting stent or a Viabahn covered stent (Gore & Associates) inside it.

There is a lot of cost and difficulty in crossing an in-stent occlusion, so if there were a way for us not to have to put a stent, we’d rather go that route. Sometimes, of course, we don’t have a choice.

Dr. Mustapha: Yes, if I am planning to stent a vessel, I tend to do an aggressive vessel preparation. I may start with a standard balloon first, prep the vessel, and stent it. I try using the Chocolate® PTA Balloon to eliminate a resistant or residual focal area before stenting.

Dr. Beasley: If I’m planning on stenting, I may use a Dorado balloon (Bard Peripheral Vascular), for example, to prep the vessel. But if there is uncertainty about whether I am going to stent, I have no qualms about picking up a Chocolate® PTA Balloon. If I definitely know I’m going to stent, then the Chocolate® PTA Balloon would not be the first choice.

Dr. Walker: I’d always use the same technique with this balloon because I think the mechanism by which we should dilate remains the same. If I absolutely know I’m going to stent, I would use a lower-cost balloon.

When I use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, I’m hoping not to stent. There have been cases I’ve gone into thinking I potentially may need to stent, but I have found I often don’t have to with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon because of an excellent primary PTCA result. I am starting to evaluate with angiography and intravascular ultrasound. Previously, when we assessed conventional PTA with intravascular ultrasound in patients with good angiographic results, we found much smaller lumens than we thought we had achieved, and often there were significant dissections not identified by angiography. It has been my initial limited experience that when we follow the Chocolate® PTA Balloon with IVUS, our initial results are better.

The cases I know I’m going to definitely stent are the “rock piles” where I have crossed deeply subintimal and often have had to use a re-entry tool. In my experience with these, one must stent with devices that have great radial force.

**In which cases would you not elect to use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon?**

Dr. Shishehbor: I don’t see any major limitations with the Chocolate® PTA Balloon. For long, totally occluded lesions, it may be difficult to pass a longer Chocolate® PTA Balloon through the lesion, so you may need to predilate. If that’s the case, I usually predilate with a much smaller balloon, such as a 2-mm balloon, especially if I am working below the knee, and then I can deliver the Chocolate® PTA Balloon. We’ve been able to deliver the Chocolate® PTA Balloon all the way to the foot, so delivery is not a problem.

Dr. Walker: I don’t use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon when I’m doing pedal arch reconstruction because of balloon flexibility and the need to negotiate through very tortuous vessels of the foot. I routinely use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon in long-segment infrapopliteal disease. I think it has been transformative in terms of the outcomes of having fewer dissections and more predictable outcomes in that area without having to stent.

This balloon tracks fairly well. In very high-grade calcific lesions, particularly in areas of tortuosity, the nitinol pressure shield does tend to stop the balloon assembly from bending and tracking through long densely calcified occlusions at times. I have had more resistance in this type of situation, and I have had a couple of cases in which I’ve had to either predilate or consider atherectomy first. Outside of that, I have not had issues with tracking in straight segments of vessel.

Dr. Mustapha: The severe tortuosity in the transpedal loops and the high rate of spasm in the transpibial collaterals cause these areas to not be ideal places to use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon.
**NEW STANDARDS IN ANGIOPLASTY**

**Does the Chocolate® PTA Balloon have the potential to decrease procedural or retreatment costs?**

Dr. Shishehbor: Unfortunately, I believe that many institutions, including ours, rely heavily on up-front economic cost cutting, and we are not sophisticated enough yet to look at the downstream effect of the decisions that we make upstream. For example, you may say, don’t use the Chocolate® PTA Balloon because it costs more than a standard balloon. However, you then go into the lesion, you have more dissections, you end up using more stents, the patient has restenosis, and needs to come back for more procedures.

This is very challenging and complicated decision making in my opinion, and the field is not yet there in regard to understanding how to deal with these cost issues in terms of available data.

Unfortunately, the hospital does not have a lot of incentive, nor the physician, to try to use the best-quality device because they do not get paid for the best-quality device, but they do get paid for [treating] restenosis.

Dr. Walker: A balloon is a cost that cath lab managers identify, but it is actually a very small part of the total cost of admission and interventional treatment of that patient. Stents are expensive. I try to weigh those costs against other options. I think there are certain cases in which I may be inclined to stent first if I’ve had crossed deeply subintimally. In patients who I think will be noncompliant and will not take antiplatelet medications, I try to avoid placing a stent. There are many patients who leave the hospital and never have their antiplatelet drugs even with education about the need to take these to avoid stent thrombosis. When I am intervening below the knee, it’s typically in a patient who is going to otherwise lose his or her limb if I don’t get the vessel open. That’s an area where I aggressively treat. Of course, above-the-knee disease is becoming a larger part of treating CLI patients who also have multilevel disease. In these cases, making sure we have a great long-term above-the-knee outcome is important.

Dr. Beasley: There is no doubt in my mind that the Chocolate® PTA Balloon has reduced the number of stents I place in the SFA and popliteal arteries, which does lower costs. If you reduce the number of stents, then you reduce the chance of in-stent restenosis.

There is not a doubt in my mind that the Chocolate® PTA Balloon decreases the amount of stents I use.

Dr. Mustapha: When we look at the Chocolate BAR BTK data, the target lesion revascularization and target vessel revascularization rates are much lower than other modalities, including stenting. Any decrease in target lesion revascularization and target vessel revascularization, reducing the number of potential reinterventions, results in an economic benefit.

Dr. Hussain: When this balloon first came into my lab, I was skeptical, because I didn’t have any data on it, and the cost was prohibitive for me. I never thought it would get through administration at a hospital to get it on the shelf. That took a little time. If you look at where and why you are using the Chocolate® PTA Balloon, I think the results have justified the cost certainly in certain locations. I think the Chocolate® PTA Balloon offers a huge cost benefit below the knee because it is an angioplasty catheter that gives an excellent result. Optimal therapy in this area is still lacking.

As we’re maturing in the vascular field, a lot of what we do with CLI patients is not always about the patency, but more about the wound healing rate and limb salvage. Every time I go to a vascular surgery meeting, we talk about patency rates, but the thing we should be conscious of is whether the patient will keep his or her leg, even though the vessel may have gone down 6 months later.

---